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Introduction

In Part I of this work ([BeBi]) we have defined a family of contractions of classical symmetric spaces, called homotopes, by means of associative algebras with involution. In this second part we turn to the general theory which is Jordan theoretic: we work with general 3-graded Lie algebras \( g = g_1 \oplus g_0 \oplus g_{-1} \), or equivalently, with Jordan pairs \( (V^+, V^-) = (g_1, g_{-1}) \) (see Chapter 1 for all basic definitions). Homotopes are parametrized by the structure variety \( \text{Svar}(V^+) \) which is a certain algebraic subvariety of \( \text{Hom}(V^+, V^-) \): each element \( \alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+) \), also called a homotopy, defines a Lie triple structure \( R_\alpha \) on \( V^+ \), that is, an infinitesimal version of a symmetric space modelled on \( V^+ \). When \( \alpha \) is an invertible endomorphism, we speak of isotopes; in the examples from Part I, the isotopes belong to semisimple or reductive symmetric spaces, and when \( \alpha \) becomes singular, these spaces contract to degenerate homotopes, until, for the most singular value \( \alpha = 0 \), we get the flat space \( V^+ \). As shown in [Be08], these contractions always lift to globally defined contractions on the space level. We prove two kinds of results:

1. A classification theorem implying that the lists given in Part I are indeed complete parametrizations of structure varieties of classical symmetric spaces (since we are interested in contractions, resp. deformations, we really need the complete parametrization of the structure variety, and not only a classification up to isomorphism; the latter can be deduced easily from the former, if needed),

2. results on the structure of the homotopes: we show that all regular homotopes have a fibered structure – they are symmetric spaces fibered over non-degenerate symmetric spaces, having flat fibers, and such that the fibration splits.

Both items are closely related to each other: the key ingredient in both cases is the link with inner ideals, and with the notion of complementation of inner ideals introduced by Loos and Neher ([LoN94]). More precisely, the image \( I \) of the endomorphism \( \alpha : V^+ \to V^- \) is always an inner ideal in \( V^- \), and the kernel...
Recall that $Z$ is an abelian group.

Every LTS is obtained from a $Z/(2)$-graded Lie algebra: we may take for $\mathfrak{g}$ the standard imbedding $\mathfrak{q} \oplus [\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}] \subset \mathfrak{q} \oplus \text{Der}(\mathfrak{q})$ (see [Lo69]). Then $\sigma := \text{id}_{\mathfrak{q}} \oplus (-\text{id}_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is an automorphism of order 2, and the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ is called a symmetric pair. See Section 1 of Part I for further remarks on Lie triple systems.

1.2 Jordan pairs, 3-graded Lie algebras and polarized Lie triple systems

A 3-graded Lie algebra is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebra with $\mathfrak{g}_n = 0$ for $|n| > 1$:

\[ \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1. \]
Note that then the odd part \( q := \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \) is a LTS, which, moreover, is polarized: the endomorphism \( D := \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \oplus (-\text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}_{-1}}) \) is a polarization of the LTS \( q \), that is, \( D^2 = 1 \), and \( D \) is a derivation of the LTS commuting with \( \mathfrak{g} \) in the sense that \( R(X,Y)D = DR(X,Y) \). Conversely, the standard imbedding of a polarized LTS is a 3-graded Lie algebra (see, e.g., [Be00], Chapter III). If \( g \) is a 3-graded Lie algebra, the pair \((V^+, V^-):= (\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_{-1})\) together with the trilinear maps

\[
T^\pm : V^+ \times V^\mp \times V^\pm \to V^\pm, \quad (u, v, w) \mapsto T^\pm(u, v, w) := [[u, v], w]
\]

is called the associated (linear) Jordan pair. It satisfies the identities

\[
\begin{align*}
(J1) \quad & T^\pm(u, v, w) = T^\pm(w, v, u) \\
(J2) \quad & T^\pm(u, v, T^\pm(x, y, z)) = T(T(u, v, x), y, z) - T(x, T^\mp(v, u, y), z) + T(x, y, T(u, v, z))
\end{align*}
\]

**Definition 1.1** A (linear) Jordan pair is a pair of vector spaces \((V^+, V^-)\) with trilinear maps \( T^\pm \) satisfying \((J1)\) and \((J2)\). Homomorphisms of Jordan pairs are pairs of linear maps \((f^\pm : V^\pm \to W^\pm)\) such that \( f^\pm(T^\pm(u, v, w)) = T^\pm(f^\pm u, f^\mp v, f^\pm w) \). Obviously, Jordan pairs form a category.

Every Jordan pair is obtained from a 3-graded Lie algebra in the way just described: one first notices that there is a bijection (even an equivalence of categories) between Jordan pairs and polarized LTS, and then take the standard imbedding of this LTS (cf. [Be00]). Sometimes this construction is called the Kantor-Koecher-Tits construction. See Subsection 3.1 for the classification of simple real Jordan pairs and of their corresponding 3-graded Lie algebras. As in the associative theory, involutions play a key role:

**Definition 1.2** For any Jordan pair \((V^+, V^-)\), the opposite pair is \((V^-, V^+)\). An involution of \((V^+, V^-)\) is an isomorphism \( \phi^\pm : V^\pm \to V^\mp \) onto the opposite pair such that \( \phi^- = (\phi^+)^{-1} \).

### 1.3 Involutions, Jordan triple systems and the Jordan-Lie functor

Assume \( g \) is a 3-graded Lie algebra and \( \theta \) an automorphism of \( g \) of order two and reversing the grading. Then \( \theta \) restricts to an automorphism of the LTS \( q = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \) anticommuting with the polarization \( D \), called a para-real form of \((q, R, D)\), and the restriction \( g_1 \to g_{-1} \) defines an involution of the Jordan pair \((V^+, V^-)\). Conversely, every para-real form (every involution) induces an automorphism \( \theta \) as above. If \((g, \theta)\) is as above, the space \( V := \mathfrak{g}_1 \) with trilinear product

\[
T(x, y, z) := [[x, \theta(y)], z]
\]

is a Jordan triple system (JTS), i.e., it satisfies the identities \((J1)\) and \((J2)\) with superscripts \( \pm \) omitted. Every Jordan triple system \((V, T)\) is obtained in this way from an involutive 3-graded Lie algebra (see, e.g., [Be00]): Jordan triple systems are equivalent to Jordan pairs with involution, and the Jordan pair \((V^+, V^-)\) obtained by forgetting the involution is then called the underlying Jordan pair of \((V, T)\). Note that in general there are many grade-reversing involutions, and there is no canonical choice of one of them; therefore it is often conceptually clearer to work with Jordan pairs and not with triple systems (as we will do below).

**Lemma 1.3** If \((V, T)\) is a Jordan triple system, then \( R := R_T \) with

\[
R_T(x, y, z) := T(x, y, z) - T(y, x, z)
\]

defines a LTS on \( V \). This defines a functor \( T \mapsto R_T \) from the category of JTS to the one of LTS, called the Jordan-Lie functor.

**Proof.** Direct computation – see [Be00], Lemma III.2.6. One may note also that the LTS \((V, R_T)\) is isomorphic to the fixed point space under \( \theta \) of the para-real form of \((q, R, D)\) mentioned above.
1.4 Definition of the structure variety

Let $V = (V^+, V^-)$ be a Jordan pair. We define the quadratic operators for $x \in V^\pm$ by

$$Q^\pm(x) : V^\pm \to V^\pm, \quad y \mapsto \frac{1}{2}T^\pm(x, y, x).$$

**Definition 1.4** The structure variety (of $V^+$) is the subset of $\text{Hom}(V^+, V^-)$ defined by

$$\text{Svar}(V^+) := \{ \alpha : V^+ \to V^- | \alpha \text{ linear}, \forall u, v, w \in V^+ : T^- (\alpha u, v, \alpha w) = \alpha T^+ (u, \alpha v, w) \}. $$

We then say that $\alpha$ is a homotopy of the Jordan pair. Equivalently (by polarization), a linear map $\alpha$ is a homotopy iff for all $u \in V^+$,

$$Q^- (\alpha u) = \alpha \circ Q^+ (u) \circ \alpha. $$

The set of invertible elements in $\text{Svar}(V^+)$ is denoted by $\text{Svar}(V^+)^\times$. For a JTS $(V, T)$, the structure variety $\text{Svar}(V)$ is defined in the same way, omitting the superscripts $\pm$. In other words, the structure variety of a JTS is the structure variety of the underlying Jordan pair.

**Remarks.**

1. If $\alpha$ is an invertible element in $\text{Svar}(V^+)$, then, by change of variables $v' := \alpha v$, we see that $(\alpha, \alpha^{-1})$ is an involution. Conversely, if $(\phi^+, \phi^-)$ is an involution, then $\phi^+ \in \text{Svar}(V^+)^\times$. In this sense $\text{Svar}(V^+)^\times$ corresponds to the space of involutions, and thus $\text{Svar}(V^+)$ can be seen as a sort of completion of this space.

2. The fundamental formula from Jordan theory $Q(Q(x)y) = Q(x)Q(y)Q(x)$ says that all quadratic operators $Q^-(x) : V^+ \to V^-$, where $x \in V^-$, belong to $\text{Svar}(V^+)$. The structural transformations $(g, h)$ form a semigroup, called the structure monoid. By definition, the structure group of $V$ is the group of invertible elements of the structure monoid, that is, the group of automorphisms of $(V^+, V^-)$. A structural transformation $(g, h)$ from $(V^+, V^-)$ to its opposite pair $(V^-, V^+)$ is called self-adjoint if $g = h$.

3. There is a similar definition of $\text{Svar}(V^-)$. From a categorial point of view, one may interpret the structure variety as the space of self-adjoint structural transformations from a Jordan pair to its opposite pair. Here are the relevant definitions:

**Definition 1.5** A structural transformation between two Jordan pairs $(V^+, V^-), (W^+, W^-)$ is a pair of linear maps $(g : V^+ \to W^+, h : W^- \to V^-)$ such that, for all $u, v, w \in V^+$ and $v, z \in W^-$:

$$gT^+_v(u, v, w) = T^+_w(gu, v, gw), \quad hT^-_v(v, gw, z) = T^-_v(hv, w, hz).$$

With these definitions, $\alpha$ belongs to $\text{Svar}(V^+)$ if and only if $(\alpha, \alpha)$ is a structural transformation to the opposite pair. Note also that, if $(f^+, f^-)$ is an isomorphism in the “usual” sense, then $(f^+, (f^-)^{-1})$ is an isomorphism in the new (“structural”) category.

**Lemma 1.6** If $\alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+)$, then $T_\alpha(x, y, z) := T^+(x, \alpha y, z)$ defines a Jordan triple system on $V^+$, called the $\alpha$-homotope of $(V, T)$.

**Proof.** Straightforward computation – see [Be00], Lemma III.4.5. □

Applying the Jordan-Lie functor to $T_\alpha$, we get a LTS on $V^+$, also called $\alpha$-homotope of $R$,

$$R_\alpha(x, y)z := [x, y, z]_\alpha := T_\alpha(x, y, z) - T(y, x, z) = T(x, \alpha y, z) - T(y, \alpha x, z).$$

Thus we have a map $\alpha \to R_\alpha$ from the structure variety to the variety of Lie triple products on $V^+$. 
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Lemma 1.7 Assume \( \alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+) \) and \((g, h): (W^+, W^-) \to (V^+, V^-)\) a structural transformation. Then \( h \circ \alpha \circ g : W^+ \to W^- \) belongs to \( \text{Svar}(W^+) \), and
\[
g : (W^+, T_{hag}) \to (V^+, T_\alpha), \quad v \mapsto gv
\]
is a JTS-homomorphism (and hence also a LTS-homomorphism \( R_{hag} \to R_\alpha \)).

Proof. By definition of composition of morphisms, \((h, g)(\alpha, \alpha)(g, h) = (hag, hag)\), and this is a structural transformation of \( W \). Hence \( hag : W^+ \to W^- \) is self-adjoint structural, i.e., it belongs to \( \text{Svar}(W^+) \). Since \( gT_{hag}(u, v, w) = gT(u, hagv, w) = T(gu, \alpha gv, gw) = T_\alpha(gu, gv, gw) \), it follows that \( g \) induces a JTS-homomorphism as claimed.

Corollary 1.8 The structure group of the Jordan pair \( V \) acts on the structure variety, and if \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha' \) belong to the same orbit under this action, then the JTS \( T_\alpha \) and \( T_{\alpha'} \) are isomorphic.

Proof. This is the special case \( V = W \) and \((g, h)\) invertible of the lemma.

For a classification of homotopes up to isomorphy it thus suffices to check that \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha'^2 \) for \( r \in \mathbb{K}^\times \) are conjugate under the structure group. In general, if \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \), \( \alpha \) and \( -\alpha \) will not be conjugate to each other (they are \( c\)-duals of each other, cf. remarks in Part I).

Corollary 1.9 Assume \( \alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+) \) and \( \beta \in \text{Svar}(V^-) \). Then \( \beta \alpha \beta \) belongs to \( \text{Svar}(V^+) \) and \( \alpha \beta \alpha \) belongs to \( \text{Svar}(V^-) \).

Proof. This is the special case \((V^+, V^-) = (V^-, V^+)\) and \( g = h = \beta \) (resp. \( g = h = \alpha \)) of the lemma.

2 Fibration: Inner ideals, kernels and complementation

The symmetric space \( M_\alpha \) corresponding to the LTS \( R_\alpha \) has a fibered structure: the base corresponds to the image of \( \alpha \) and the fiber to the kernel of \( \alpha \). In this chapter we investigate these important features and show that they are also the key for proving classification results. It turns out that classification is made possible by the fact that, in suitably “regular” situations, the above mentioned fibering splits, and that such splittings are also the key for proving classification results. It turns out that classification is made possible by the fact that, in suitably “regular” situations, the above mentioned fibering splits, and that such splittings correspond, in a Jordan theoretic language, to complementation of inner ideals in the sense of [LoN94].

2.1 Inner ideals and their kernels

We are going to describe some properties of the image \( \text{Im} \alpha \subset V^- \) and the kernel \( \ker \alpha \subset V^+ \) of a homotopy \( \alpha : V^+ \to V^- \).

Lemma 2.1 Assume \( \alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+) \). Then \( \ker \alpha \) is an ideal in the Jordan triple system \((V^+, T_\alpha)\), and hence it is also an ideal in the Lie triple system \((V^+, R_\alpha)\).

Proof. Let \( K := \ker \alpha \); in order to check that \( T_\alpha(K, V^+, V^+) + T_\alpha(V^+, K, V^+) + T_\alpha(V^+, V^+, K) \subset K \), it suffices to check that \( \alpha T_\alpha(u, v, w) = 0 \) whenever one of \( u, v, w \) belongs to \( K \). But this follows immediately from the relation \( \alpha T_\alpha(u, v, w) = \alpha T(u, \alpha v, w) = T(\alpha u, v, \alpha w) \).

The image \( \text{Im} \alpha \) is in general not even a “sub-JTS” of \( V^- \) (so far there is no JTS-structure on \( V^- \)).

Definition 2.2 Let \((V^+, V^-)\) be a Jordan pair. An inner ideal (in \( V^- \)) is a subspace \( I \subset V^- \) such that
\[
T^-((I, V^+, I) \subset I).
\]

Example 2.3 Images of structural transformations are inner ideals: assume \((g, h): (V^+, V^-) \to (W^+, W^-)\) is a structural transformation, then the \( \text{Im} h \) is an inner ideal in \( W^- \) since
\[
T^-(hV^-, W^+, hV^-) \subset hT^-(V^-, gw^+, V^-) \subset \text{Im} h.
\]
In particular, for \( \alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+) \), the image \( \text{Im} \alpha \) is an inner ideal in \( V^- \).
2.2 Regularity and pseudo-inverses

An inner ideal $I$ does in general not carry a Jordan triple system structure (we can only say that $(V^+, I)$ is a Jordan pair), and therefore is does not make sense to say that $I$ (and thus as LTS). However, this will be the case if $\alpha$ is regular in the sense to be explained now.

**Definition 2.4** Let $I$ be an inner ideal in $V^-$. We define, following [LoN94], the kernel of $I$ to be the subspace of $V^+$ given by

$$\ker I := \{ x \in V^+ \mid T^- (I, x, I) = 0 \} = \bigcap_{y \in I} \ker Q^- (y).$$

Note that the kernel of an inner ideal and the inner ideal itself live in different spaces ($V^+$, resp. $V^-$). We recall also that a Jordan pair $(V^+, V^-)$ is called non-degenerate if $T^+(x, V^+, x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$.

**Example 2.5** The kernel of an image $\text{Im} g$ of a structural transformation $(g, h)$ is the kernel of $h$:

$$\ker (\text{Im} g) = \ker h.$$

More precisely, if $(g, h) : (V^+, V^-) \to (W^+, W^-)$ is structural, let $I := \text{Im} g$ and $K := \ker h$; then $T^-(gu, k, g\nu) = gT^-(u, hk, \nu) = 0$ for all $k \in K$, whence $\ker h \subset \ker (\text{Im} g)$. The converse holds if $V$ is non-degenerate ([LoN94], Lemma 1.6 (b)).

### 2.2 Regularity and pseudo-inverses

An inner ideal $I$ does in general not carry a Jordan triple system structure (we can only say that $(V^+, I)$ is a Jordan pair), and therefore is does not make sense to say that $T_\alpha / \ker \alpha$ and $\text{Im} \alpha$ be isomorphic as JTS (and thus as LTS). However, this will be the case if $\alpha$ is regular in the sense to be explained now.

**Definition 2.6** Let $(V^+, V^-)$ be a Jordan pair and $\alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+)$ (hence $\alpha : V^+ \to V^-$). We say that $\alpha$ is regular if there is an element $\beta \in \text{Svar}(V^-)$ (so $\beta : V^- \to V^+$) such that $\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha = \alpha$.

The element $\beta$ will then be called a pseudo-inverse of $\alpha$.

In the situation of the definition, Lemma 1.7 implies that $\alpha : (V^+, T_{\alpha \beta \alpha}) = (V^+, T_\alpha) \to (V^-, T_\beta)$ is a homomorphism of Jordan triple systems (and hence also a homomorphism of LTS from $R_\alpha$ to $R_\beta$).

**Example 2.7** Assume $(V, T)$ is a JTS, hence $V = V^+ = V^-$. If $\alpha$ is a tripotent ($\alpha^3 = \alpha$) element of $\text{Svar}(V)$, then it is regular (take $\beta = \alpha$), and hence $\alpha : T_\alpha \to T_\alpha$ is a JTS-homomorphism. This holds in particular if $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ or $(-\alpha)^2 = -\alpha$. However, not every regular element is tripotent: for instance, if $\alpha' = h \circ \alpha \circ g$ for $(g, h)$ in the structure group, the JTS $T_\alpha$ and $T_{\alpha'}$ will be isomorphic, hence are both regular, but $\alpha'$ needs no longer be tripotent.

If the set of pseudo-inverses of $\alpha$ is not empty, then it is the intersection of $\text{Svar}(V^-)$ with an affine space: the difference $\gamma = \beta - \beta'$ of two pseudo-inverses satisfies the linear equation $\alpha \gamma \alpha = 0$. There is no canonical choice of pseudo-inverse, but once we have fixed a choice, we may “improve” it in a canonical way: by straightforward computation one proves the following

**Lemma 2.8** If $\beta$ is a pseudo-inverse of $\alpha$, then the endomorphism $\beta' := \beta \alpha \beta : V^- \to V^+$ belongs again to $\text{Svar}(V^-)$, is again a pseudo-inverse of $\alpha$, and it satisfies moreover

$$\beta' \circ \alpha \circ \beta' = \beta'.$$

**Definition 2.9** Let $(W^+, W^-)$ be a pair of $K$-modules and $\alpha : W^+ \to W^-, \beta : W^- \to W^+$ linear maps. We say that a pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Hom}(W^+, W^-) \times \text{Hom}(W^-, W^+)$ is idempotent if $\beta \circ \alpha \circ \beta = \beta$ and $\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha = \alpha$. (Indeed, this condition means precisely that $(\alpha, \beta)$ is an idempotent in the Jordan pair $(\text{Hom}(W^+, W^-), \text{Hom}(W^-, W^+))$, see [Lo75].)
Lemma 2.10 Assume the pair \((\alpha, \beta)\) is idempotent. Then we have direct sum decompositions
\[ W^+ = \text{Im}\beta \oplus \ker \alpha, \quad W^- = \text{Im}\alpha \oplus \ker \beta, \] (2)
and \(\beta|_{\text{Im}\alpha} : \text{Im}\alpha \to \text{Im}\beta\) is a linear isomorphism with inverse \(\alpha|_{\text{Im}\beta} : \text{Im}\beta \to \text{Im}\alpha\). Conversely, if a pair \((\alpha, \beta)\) has these properties, then it is idempotent.


Theorem 2.11 If \(\alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+)\) is regular, then \(\text{Im}\alpha\) carries a JTS structure such that
\[ 0 \to \ker \alpha \to (V^+, T_\alpha) \to \text{Im}\alpha \to 0 \]
is a split exact sequence of JTS. A similar statement holds for the corresponding LTS.

Proof. Choose \(\beta\) such that \((\alpha, \beta)\) is idempotent. According to the preceding lemma, \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) induce mutually inverse isomorphisms between \(I = \text{Im}\alpha\) and \(J := \text{Im}\beta\). Therefore \(I\) becomes a JTS with triple product \((u, v, w) \mapsto T^-(u, \beta v, w)\), and \(\alpha : (V^+, T_\alpha) \to I\) becomes a homomorphism which splits via \(\beta : I \to V^+\). ■

By general functoriality of the construction of spaces associated to Jordan structures ([Be02]), we deduce that the exact sequence from the theorem lifts to the space level (in finite dimension over \(\mathbb{R}\), this follows also from the functoriality of the construction of a symmetric space from a LTS, see [Lo69]): \(\alpha\) induces a homomorphism from \(M_\alpha\) to the symmetric space \(B_\alpha\) belonging to the base \(\text{Im}\alpha\); we have a fibration of symmetric spaces
\[ F_\alpha \to M_\alpha \to B_\alpha, \]
where the fiber \(F_\alpha\) is a flat symmetric space, and there exists a splitting \(B_\alpha \to M_\alpha\). The LTS \((V^+, R_\alpha)\) of \(M_\alpha\) splits as \(V^+ = K \oplus J\) with abelian ideal \(K\) and \(J := \text{Im}\beta\). Moreover, we have

Lemma 2.12 In the LTS \((V^+, R_\alpha)\) with ideal \(K = \ker \alpha\) we have \(R_\alpha(K, K)V^+ = 0\).

Proof. \(T_\alpha(u, v, w) - T_\alpha(v, u, w) = T(u, \alpha v, w) - T(v, \alpha u, w) = 0\) whenever \(u, v \in K = \ker \alpha\). ■

However, \(R_\alpha(K, J)K\) is in general not zero, and hence the fibration \(M_\alpha \to B_\alpha\) is in general not a symmetric bundle in the sense of [BeDo9].

2.3 Complementation of inner ideals

Recall from [LoN94] that an inner ideal \(J \subset V^+\) is called a complement of an inner ideal \(I \subset V^-\) if
\[ V^- = I \oplus \ker J, \quad V^+ = J \oplus \ker I, \]
and that Jordan pair \((V^+, V^-)\) is complemented if every inner ideal both in \(V^+\) and \(V^-\) admits a complement. Complemented Jordan pairs have been characterized in [LoN94]; we will use their result in the proof of the following theorem, but we will give also a more elementary, independent proof for the positive real case in the next subsection.

Theorem 2.13 Assume \((V^+, V^-)\) is a non-degenerate Jordan pair that admits an anisotropic involution \(\tau\) (this means that \(T^+(x, \tau(x), x) = 0\) implies \(x = 0\); one says also that the JTS \((V, T)\) with \(T(x, y, z) = T^+(x, \tau(y), z)\) is anisotropic).

(1) If \(\alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+)\) is regular, then the inner ideal \(I := \text{Im}\alpha\) is complemented. A complement is given by \(J := \text{Im}\beta \subset V^+\), where \(\beta \in \text{Svar}(V^-)\) is such that \((\alpha, \beta)\) is idempotent.

(2) Assume that \((V^+, V^-)\) is simple and finite-dimensional over a field \(\mathbb{K}\). Then every inner ideal \(I \subset V^-\) is complemented, and there exists regular \(\alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+)\) such that \(I = \text{Im}\alpha\). Moreover, every element of the structure variety is regular, and after fixing an anisotropic involution, all elements of \(\text{Svar}(V^+)\) having same image and kernel as \(\alpha\) are naturally parametrized by \(\text{Svar}(I)^{\times}\).
All assumptions hold, in particular, if \((V^+, V^-)\) is a simple, finite-dimensional Jordan pair over \(\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}\); we can then choose for \(\tau\) its Cartan-involution, i.e., the involution coming from a Cartan-involution of the corresponding 3-graded Lie algebra reversing the grading.

**Proof.** (1) From Lemma 2.10 we get the decomposition

\[
V^+ = \text{Im} \beta \oplus \ker \alpha, \quad V^- = \text{Im} \alpha \oplus \ker \beta.
\]

Since our assumption implies that \((V^+, V^-)\) is non-degenerate, we have \(\ker \alpha = \text{Kern}(\text{Im} \alpha)\) (cf. Example 2.5) and \(\ker \beta = \text{Kern}(\text{Im} \beta)\). This shows that \(\text{Im} \beta\) is a complement of \(\text{Im} \alpha\).

(2) We fix for the moment an anisotropic involution \(\tau : V^+ \to V^-\), giving rise to the JTS \((V, T)\). By definition, this JTS is then anisotropic, and it is Artinian (i.e., descending chains of inner ideals become stationary) since it is finite-dimensional. Thus [LoN94], Theorem 6.7 implies that \(I\) is complemented in the Jordan triple sense, that is, \(J := \tau(I) \subset V^+\) is a complement of \(I \subset V^-\). Let \(\pi^\pm : V^\pm \to V^\pm\) be the projections onto \(I\), resp. \(J\) with kernel \(\text{Kern}(J)\), resp. \(\text{Kern}(I)\); then \(\tau \circ \pi^+ = \pi^- \circ \tau\). As shown in [LoN94], Section 3.5, the pair of projections \((\pi^+ , \pi^-)\) is a structural transformation, and hence also the pair

\[
(\tau, \tau)(\pi^+, \pi^-) = (\tau \circ \pi^+, \pi^- \circ \tau) = (\tau \circ \pi^+, \tau \circ \pi^+) =: (\alpha_0, \alpha_0)
\]

is structural (mind the definition of composition in the structural category). Similarly, the pair \((\beta_0, \beta_0)\) with \(\beta_0 := \tau^{-1} \circ \pi^-\) is structural, whence \(\alpha_0 \in \text{Svar}(V^+)\), \(\beta_0 \in \text{Svar}(V^-)\). Moreover,

\[
\alpha_0 \beta_0 \alpha_0 = \tau \circ \pi^+ \circ \tau^{-1} \circ \pi^- \circ \tau \circ \pi^+ = \tau \circ (\pi^+)^3 = \alpha_0
\]

and similarly \(\beta_0 \alpha_0 \beta_0 = \beta_0\). By definition, the image of \(\alpha_0\) is \(I\) and its kernel is \(\text{Kern}(I)\), proving that every inner ideal \(I\) is the image of a regular \(\alpha_0\). Still fixing the anisotropic involution, we have the following lemma describing all elements \(\alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+)\) having same image and kernel as \(\alpha_0\):

**Lemma 2.14** Let \(I\) be a complemented inner ideal in a JTS \(V\) and let \(\pi : V \to I\) and \(\iota : I \to V\) be projection and injection corresponding to the decomposition \(V = I \oplus \text{Kern}(I)\). Then \((\iota, \pi) : (V, V) \to (I, I)\) and \((\iota, \pi) : (I, I) \to (V, V)\) are structural transformations, and we obtain two maps

\[
\phi : \text{Svar}(I) \to \text{Svar}(V), \quad \psi : \text{Svar}(V) \to \text{Svar}(I),
\]

\[
\gamma \mapsto \alpha := \iota \circ \gamma \circ \pi = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \gamma' \\ \gamma'' & \gamma''' \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \gamma = \pi \circ \alpha \circ \iota
\]

(matrices taken w.r.t. the decomposition \(V = I \oplus \text{Kern}(I)\)) such that \(\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_{\text{Svar}(I)}\). In particular, \(\phi\) is injective and \(\psi\) is surjective, and the image of \(\phi\) is precisely the set of elements \(\alpha \in \text{Svar}(V)\) such that \(\text{Im} \alpha \subset I\) and \(\text{Kern}(I) \subset \ker \alpha\). In particular, the conditions \(\text{Im} \alpha = I\) and \(\ker \alpha = \text{Kern}(I)\) hold if and only if \(\alpha = \phi(\gamma)\) with invertible \(\gamma \in \text{Svar}(I)\).

**Proof.** As mentioned above, the pair of projections \((\pi^+, \pi^-)\) is structural. Therefore \(\pi T(u, v, w) = T(\pi u, v, \pi w)\) for \(u, w \in V, v \in I\), hence \((\iota, \pi) : (V, V) \to (I, I)\) is structural. For \((\iota, \pi)\): let \(u, w \in I, v = v_I + v_K\) with \(v_I \in I, v_K \in \text{Kern}(I)\), then

\[
\iota T(u, v, w) = T(u, v_I, w) = T(u, v_I + v_K, w) = T(u, v, w) = T(v_I, v, \iota w).
\]

It follows that the pair

\[
(\iota, \pi)(\gamma, \gamma)(\gamma, \gamma)(\gamma, \gamma) = (\iota \circ \gamma \circ \pi, \iota \circ \gamma \circ \pi) = (\alpha, \alpha) : (V, V) \to (V, V)
\]

is structural, and hence \(\alpha \in \text{Svar}(V)\), so \(\phi\) is well-defined. The proof showing that \(\psi\) is well-defined is similar. Using the “matrix description”, the remaining statements follow immediately. \(\blacksquare\)
We now finish the proof of part (2) of the theorem: let \( \alpha \in \text{Svar}(V) \) be arbitrary and put \( I := \text{Im}\alpha, J := \tau(I) \). Then \( J \) is a complement of \( I \), hence \( \text{Im}\alpha = \text{Im}\alpha_0 \) and \( \ker\alpha = \ker\alpha_0 \) with regular \( \alpha_0 \). The lemma shows that \( \alpha_0 = \phi(\text{id}_I) \) and \( \alpha = \phi(\gamma) \) for some \( \gamma \in \text{Svar}(I)^\times \). Then it is easily checked that \( \alpha \) is regular pseudo-inverse \( \beta := \phi(\gamma^{-1}) \).

In order to prove the final assertion of the theorem, let us show that the Cartan-involution is anisotropic: indeed, the JTS corresponding to this involution is positive (cf. next subsection). Let \( x \in V \) and fix a Jordan frame \( e_1, \ldots, e_r \) such that \( x = \sum_i r_i e_i \) with \( r_i \geq 0 \). Then \( T(x, x, x) = \sum r_i^3 \), hence \( T(x, x, x) = 0 \) implies \( r_i = 0 \) for all \( i \), hence \( x = 0 \).

The preceding results lead to a method of classification of elements of the structure variety of a finite-dimensional real simple Jordan pair \((V^+, V^-)\) in two steps: Part (2) of the theorem says that the map

\[
\text{Svar}(V) \to \mathcal{X} := \{ \text{inner ideals in } V^- \}, \quad \alpha \mapsto I := \text{Im}(\alpha)
\]

is surjective with fiber over \( I \) being in one-to-one correspondence with \( \text{Svar}(I)^\times \). Therefore \( \text{Svar}(V) \) can be described by combining the classification of inner ideals \( I \) with the one of elements of \( \text{Svar}(I)^\times \). Before coming to classification, we give the promised elementary proof for the real case. It relies on the fact that kernels of inner ideals can be expressed in terms of orthogonal complements with respect to a suitable bilinear form.

### 2.4 The trace form

In this section, let \((V^+, V^-)\) be a finite-dimensional Jordan pair over a field \( \mathbb{K} \). The trace form is the bilinear form defined by

\[
V^+ \times V^- \to \mathbb{K}, \quad (u, v) \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle := \text{Tr}(T^+(u, v, \cdot))
\]

If \( V \) is a Jordan triple system, then the same form defines a bilinear form on \( V \), again called trace form. It is known and easy to show that, if this form is non-degenerate, then it is symmetric, and that it is invariant in the sense that the adjoint of \( T(x, y, \cdot) \) is \( T(x, y, \cdot) \):

\[
\langle T(x, y, u), v \rangle = \langle u, T(y, x, v) \rangle.
\]

If \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \), we say that a JTS \((V, T)\) is positive, if the trace form is positive definite, and negative or compact if it is negative definite. Of course, replacing \( T \) by \(-T\) makes both notions equivalent.

**Theorem 2.15** Assume \((V, T)\) is a real, positive JTS, and denote by \( \bot \) the orthogonal complement with respect to the trace form.

1. Assume \( I \) is an inner ideal in \( V \). Then

\[
\ker I = I^\bot.
\]

In particular, the inner ideal \( I \) is complemented in the Jordan triple sense: \( V = I \oplus \ker I \).

2. Any \( \alpha \in \text{Svar}(V) \) is symmetric with respect to the trace form.

**Proof.** (1) \( \alpha \in \text{Svar}(V) \) is symmetric with respect to the trace form.

**Lemma 2.16** Let \((V, T)\) be a positive JTS. Then every sub-JTS \( F \subset V \) is again positive, and satisfies in particular \( T(F, F, F) = F \).

Before proving the lemma, let us use it to establish the theorem: for all \( u, v, w \in I \) and \( k \in \ker I \),

\[
\langle T(u, v, w), k \rangle = \langle w, T(v, u, k) \rangle = \langle w, T(k, u, v) \rangle = \langle T(u, k, w), v \rangle = 0,
\]

whence, using the lemma, \( \ker I \subset T(I, I, I) = I^\bot \). Let us prove that \( I^\bot \subset \ker I \), i.e., \( T(I, I^\bot, I) = 0 \). By definition of inner ideals, we have \( T(I, I^\bot, I) \subset T(I, V, I) \subset I \). On the other hand, using again the lemma,

\[
0 = \langle I, I^\bot \rangle = \langle T(I, I, I), I^\bot \rangle = \langle T(I, I^\bot, I), I \rangle,
\]
showing that $T(I, I^\perp, I) \subset I^\perp$, whence $T(I, I^\perp, I) \subset (I \cap I^\perp) = 0$, and (1) is proved.

(2) If $\alpha \in \text{Svar}(V)$ is invertible, then, writing $T(u, v) := T(u, v, \cdot)$,

$$T(u, \alpha v) = \alpha \circ T(\alpha u, v) \circ \alpha^{-1},$$

and taking traces we get $\langle u, \alpha v \rangle = \langle \alpha u, v \rangle$. Now consider $\alpha \in \text{Svar}(V)$, not necessarily invertible. Using Lemma 2.14, we write $\alpha = \iota \circ \gamma \circ \pi$, where, according to Part (1), $\pi : V \to I$ is the orthogonal projection onto $I := \mathbb{I}(\alpha)$ with kernel $\text{Kern}I = I^\perp$. Therefore the adjoint operator of $\pi$ is $\iota$. Using this, and that $\gamma \in \text{Svar}(I)$ is invertible, whence $\gamma^* = \gamma$ by the preceding remark, it follows that

$$\alpha^* = (\iota \circ \gamma \circ \pi)^* = \pi^* \circ \gamma^* \circ \iota^* = \iota \circ \gamma \circ \pi = \alpha,$$

proving (2). It remains to prove the lemma. Recall that a real JTS is called Euclidean if it is endowed with an invariant Euclidean scalar product: $(T(x, y)u, v) = (u, T(y, x)v)$. By restriction of the trace form (that is, $(u, v) := (u, v)_V$), every subsystem $F$ in a positive JTS is Euclidean. Let us show that $F$ is again positive, i.e., $(x, x)_F > 0$ for all non-zero $x \in F$. To this end, using the spectral theorem in $V$, we decompose $x = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i e_i$, with $r_i > 0$ and orthogonal non-zero idempotents $e_1, \ldots, e_k$ which can be expressed as certain linear combinations of (odd) powers of $x$; in particular they belong again to $F$ since $F$ is a subsystem. It follows that

$$\langle x, x \rangle_F = \sum_i r_i^2 \langle e_i, e_i \rangle_F = \sum_i r_i^2 (T(e_i, e_i, e_i), e_i)_F$$

Now, a result due to Backes (see Theorem 1 page 268 of [Backes83])—based on an earlier one of Koecher—asserts that for all $u, v$ in the Euclidean JTS $F$ the inequality

$$\text{Tr} S(u, v, u, v) \geq 0$$

holds with $S(u, v) := \frac{1}{2}(T(u, v) + T(v, u)) \in \mathbb{E}(F)$. Moreover, equality holds in (5) if and only if $S(u, v) = 0$. This implies that $\langle x, x \rangle_F \geq 0$, and that $\langle x, x \rangle_F = 0$ iff $r_i^2 S(e_i, e_i) = 0$ for all $i$, iff $r_i^2 S(e_i, e_i) = 0$ for all $i$, iff $r_i^2 = 0$ for all $i$, iff $x = 0$. Thus $F$ is positive.

Let us show that $T(F, F, F) = F$. Decompose the positive JTS $F$ as $F = U \oplus U^\perp$ with $U = T(F, F, F)$. Then $T(U^\perp, U^\perp, U^\perp) = 0$ (since $0 = (U, U^\perp) = (T(F, F, F), U^\perp) = (F, T(F, F, U^\perp)) \supset (F, T(U^\perp, U^\perp, U^\perp))$, hence $U^\perp$ is a positive JTS with zero product, hence it is zero and $U = F$.

For semisimple Jordan algebras, statement (2) is essentially already contained in [Ri70], Theorem 3 (with a very different proof). In loc. cit. Corollary 3.1, it is also remarked that this result implies that, if $(g, h)$ is a structural transformation of a real semisimple Jordan algebra, then $h = g^*$ (adjoint operator); the same remark applies in the present context.

**Corollary 2.17** Assume $(V^+, V^-)$ is a finite-dimensional semisimple Jordan pair over $\mathbb{R}$. Then:

(1) For any inner ideal $I$ in $V^+$, its kernel $\text{Kern}I \subset V^+$ is the orthogonal complement $I^\perp$ of $I$ with respect to the trace form, and $I$ admits a complement.

(2) Any $\alpha \in \text{Svar}(V^+)$ is symmetric with respect to the trace form.

**Proof.** It is known that every semi-simple real finite-dimensional Jordan pair admits a positive involution $\theta$ (the Cartan-involution, see Theorem 2.13), that is, the JTS $V = V^+$ with $T(x, y, z) := T(x, \theta y, z)$ is positive. Applying Part (1) of the theorem to this positive JTS gives Part (1) of the corollary: indeed, both $\text{Kern}I$ and $I^\perp$ are defined independently of the involution; we have seen that under identification of $V^+$ and $V^-$ under $\theta$ the corresponding spaces are equal, therefore $\text{Kern}I = I^\perp$ (and this equality remains true under identification by any involution, be it positive or not). Exactly the same argument shows symmetry of $\alpha$ w.r.t. the trace form.

The properties from the corollary concern the trace form of Jordan pairs, whereas positivity (and anisotropy) are properties of an involution, hence of triple systems. Positive (more generally, anisotropic) forms permit to choose “simulatenously” complements for all inner ideals; for base fields different from $\mathbb{R}$, such a choice is in general not possible, even if a Jordan pair is complemented.
3 Classification

It goes without saying that in general a classification of the objects introduced in the preceding chapters is out of reach. The assumptions that we will make are fairly restrictive and are mainly imposed by geometric applications: we will look at simple finite-dimensional Jordan pairs over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. (From a purely algebraic point of view, it would certainly be interesting to classify objects over more general base fields and by relaxing finite-dimensionality to chain conditions.) Moreover, in order to keep this work in reasonable bounds, we restrict attention to classical Jordan pairs. In this chapter we present the (known) classifications of such Jordan pairs and triple systems and of their inner ideals, which then lead to a complete description of their structure varieties.

3.1 Classification of Jordan pairs

I. Simple complex Jordan pairs

3.1 Classification of Jordan pairs

structure varieties. Jordan pairs and triple systems and of their inner ideals, which then lead to a complete description of their classical restrict attention to finite-dimensionality to chain conditions.) Moreover, in order to keep this work in reasonable bounds, we restrict attention to classical Jordan pairs. In this chapter we present the (known) classifications of such Jordan pairs and triple systems and of their inner ideals, which then lead to a complete description of their structure varieties.

3.1 Classification of Jordan pairs

I. Simple complex Jordan pairs (cf. [Be00], Table XII.2.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label</th>
<th>$(V^+, V^-)$</th>
<th>$T^\pm$</th>
<th>3-graded Lie algebra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>$(M(p, q; \mathbb{C}), M(q, p; \mathbb{C}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{sl}(p + q; \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>$(\text{Sym}(n; \mathbb{C}), \text{Sym}(n; \mathbb{C}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>$(\text{Asym}(n; \mathbb{C}), \text{Asym}(n; \mathbb{C}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{o}(2n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>$(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(x, y, z) = \beta(y, z)x + \beta(y, x)z - \beta(x, z)y$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{o}(\hat{\beta})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>$(\text{Herm}(3, \mathbb{O}<em>\mathbb{C}), \text{Herm}(3, \mathbb{O}</em>\mathbb{C}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{e}_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>$(M(1, 2, \mathbb{O}<em>\mathbb{C}), M(1, 2, \mathbb{O}</em>\mathbb{C}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{e}_6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks. (1) In type 4, $\mathbb{C}^n$ is equipped with a non-degenerate and symmetric bilinear form $\beta$, and $\hat{\beta}$ is the form on $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ given by $\hat{\beta}((z_0, z, z_{n+1}),(w_0, w, w_{n+1})) = \beta(z, w) + z_0w_{n+1} + w_0z_{n+1}$. The product $T(x, y, z)$ may be realized in the Clifford algebra $Cl(\mathbb{C}^n, \beta)$ as follows: recall the Clifford relation $x \cdot y := \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx) = \beta(x, y)1$ and note that $xyz + zyx = x \cdot (y \cdot z) - y \cdot (x \cdot z) + (x \cdot y) \cdot z$. Using this, one gets for $x, y, z$ belonging to the subspace $\mathbb{C}^n$ of $Cl(\mathbb{C}^n, \beta)$:

$$xyz + zyx = x \cdot (y \cdot z) - y \cdot (x \cdot z) + (x \cdot y) \cdot z = \beta(y, z)x + \beta(y, x)z - \beta(x, z)y.$$ 

(2) Types 4, 5 and 6 are included here for convenience, but we will mainly consider the matrix cases 1, 2 and 3 in the sequel.

(3) The following lists will be simpler than the ones given in [Be00] in the sense that we will not have to distinguish for Type 1 the cases $p \neq q$ and $p = q$, nor for Type 3 the cases $n$ even and $n$ odd (which had to be done in [Be00] since only invertible $\alpha$ were considered there).

II. Simple real Jordan pairs (cf. [Be00], Table XII.2.2). There are two kinds: the complex pairs from above, considered as real, and the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label</th>
<th>$(V^+, V^-)$</th>
<th>$T^\pm$</th>
<th>3-graded Lie algebra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>$(\text{Herm}(n; \mathbb{C}), \text{Herm}(n; \mathbb{C}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{su}(n, n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>$(M(p, q; \mathbb{R}), M(q, p; \mathbb{R}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{sl}(p + q; \mathbb{R})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>$(M(p, q; \mathbb{H}), M(q, p; \mathbb{H}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{H})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>$(\text{Sym}(n; \mathbb{R}), \text{Sym}(n; \mathbb{R}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>$(\text{Herm}(n; \mathbb{H}), \text{Herm}(n; \mathbb{H}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{so}(2n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>$(\text{Herm}(n; \mathbb{H}), \text{Herm}(n; \mathbb{H}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{H})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>$(\text{Asym}(n; \mathbb{R}), \text{Asym}(n; \mathbb{R}))$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(X, Y, Z) = XYZ + ZYX$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{o}(n, n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>$(\mathbb{R}^{p,q}, \mathbb{R}^{p,q})$ $(p + q = n)$</td>
<td>$T^\pm(x, y, z) = \beta(y, z)x + \beta(y, x)z - \beta(x, z)y$</td>
<td>$\mathfrak{o}(\hat{\beta})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks. (1) The pair with label $i, j$ is a real form of the complex pair with label $i$ from the preceding table (with certain restriction of parameters: for instance, 1.1 is a real form in the square case, i.e., $p = q = n$, and quaternionic matrices are real forms for even parameters). For type 4., $(p, q)$ denotes the signature of the form $\beta$. Note that $\mathbb{R}^{p,q}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{p',q'}$ are not isomorphic if $p$ is different from $p'$ and $q'$.

(2) Exceptional Jordan pairs and triple systems have been classified by E. Neher.
3.2 Classification of inner ideals

For the classical Jordan pairs listed above, we describe their inner ideals. This classification is known: for Jordan algebras see [McC71]; for Jordan pairs see [Ne96] or [DFGG08]; for an elementary proof in case of rectangular or symmetric matrices, see [BeL08], Appendix A.

1. Rectangular matrices. \((V^+, V^-) = (M(p, q; \mathbb{K}), M(q, p; \mathbb{K})) = (\text{Hom}(E, F), \text{Hom}(F, E))\) over a field \(\mathbb{K} \) all inner ideals in \(V^-\) are of the form

\[ I = I_{a,b} := \{ f : F \to E \mid a \subset \ker f, \text{Im} f \subset b \} \]

for linear subspaces \(a \subset F, b \subset E\). Thus \(I\) can be identified with a matrix space \(\text{Hom}(a', b)\) where \(a'\) is some vector space complement of \(a\) in \(F\). This ideal is principal if and only if it is isomorphic to a space of square matrices, i.e., if \(\dim b = \dim a'\). In the general case, elementary linear algebra shows that the kernel of \(I_{a,b}\) is

\[ \ker I_{a,b} = \{ h : E \to F \mid h(b) \subset a \} \]

and \(J := I_{a',a'} \subset \text{Hom}(E, F)\) is a complement of \(I_{a,b}\) if \(a', b'\) are vector space complements of \(a\), resp. of \(b\).

2. Symmetric and Hermitian matrices. \((V^+, V^-) = (V, V), V = \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{K})\) or \(\text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{F})\). Inner ideals are constructed as above, by taking \(E = F = \mathbb{K}^n\) or \(F^n\) and for \(f\) self-adjoint maps (w.r.t. standard bilinear or Hermitian forms). Then the condition \(\text{Im} f \subset b\) is equivalent to \(b^\perp \subset \ker f\), i.e., inner ideals are given as above with \(a = b^\perp\):

\[ I = I_b := \{ f : E \to E \mid f = f^*, \text{Im} f \subset b \} \]

The inner ideal \(I_b\) is always principal, and it can be identified with the matrix space \(\text{Herm}(b)\). The kernel \(\ker I_b\) is characterized by the property \(h(b) \subset b^\perp\), and under the identification \(V^+ = V^-\), a complement of \(I\) is given by \(I\) itself.

3. Skew-symmetric matrices. \((V^+, V^-) = (V, V), V = \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K})\). There are two different kinds of inner ideals: The first kind is constructed exactly as in the preceding case:

\[ I = I_b := \{ f : E \to E \mid f = -f^*, \text{Im} f \subset b \} \]

where \(b^\perp \subset \ker f\) follows automatically. The second type (called point space in [McC71] and [DFGG08]) is fairly special: fix a non-zero vector \(u \in E = \mathbb{K}^n\) and let

\[ K_u := \{ f : E \to E \mid f = -f^*, f(u^\perp) \subset \mathbb{K}u \} \]

(where \(\perp\) refers to the standard scalar product on \(\mathbb{K}^n\)). In matrix realization, taking \(u\) as the last base vector \(e_n\) and \(u^\perp = \text{vect}(e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1})\), these are skew matrices having zero upper left \((n-1) \times (n-1)\)-block. We check that \(K_u\) is an inner ideal: the key observation is that, for any skew-symmetric \(g : E \to E\), we have \(\langle gu, u \rangle = -\langle u, gu \rangle = -\langle gu, u \rangle\), whence \(g(\mathbb{K}u) \subset u^\perp\); therefore, for any \(f \in K_u\),

\[ (fgf)(u^\perp) \subset fg(\mathbb{K}u) \subset f(u^\perp) \subset \mathbb{K}u, \]

whence \(fgf \in K_u\). Observe also that \(K_u = \ker I_{u^\perp}\), that is, in this special situation the kernel of \(I_{u^\perp}\) is an inner ideal (namely \(K_u\)). As above, \(K_u\) is complemented, and hence has a natural JTS-structure. Let us describe this structure: realize elements of \(K_u\) by matrices as mentioned above; then

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & X^t \\
-X & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & Y^t \\
-Y & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & X^t \\
-X & 0
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
\langle X, Y \rangle X & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

for row vectors \(X, Y \in \mathbb{K}^{n-1}\). This is exactly the JTS-structure of the “projective” JTS \(M(1, n - 1; \mathbb{K})\). It follows also that \(fgf\) is proportional to \(f\) for all \(f \in K_u\) and \(g \in \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K})\) (proof: \(fgf = (f, g)f\) if \(g \in K_u\), and \(fgf = 0\) if \(g \in \ker(K_u)\)). Thus \(\mathbb{K}f\) is an inner ideal for all \(f \in K_u\) (this property is used to define point spaces in [McC71]). Finally, the intersection of a point space \(K_u\) with a standard inner ideal \(I_b\) is again a point space inner ideal; thus the inner ideals \(K_u\) can be characterized as maximal point spaces.

4. Type four. \(V^+ = V^- = \mathbb{K}^{p,q}\). The inner ideals are precisely the isotropic subspaces \(I\) for the form \(\beta\). We have \(\ker I = I^\perp\), and an isotropic subspace \(J\) is complementary to \(I\) as inner ideal if \(\mathbb{K}^n = I \oplus J^\perp = J \oplus I^\perp\).
As JTS, the proper complementary inner ideal pairs \((I, J)\) are isomorphic to the “projective” JTS \(M(1, r; \mathbb{K})\) with \(r = \dim I \leq n/2\) ([LoN94], Example 1.14).

Note that in all cases, with the exception of proper inner ideals for type 4 and point spaces for type 3, inner ideals are of the same type as the ambient Jordan pair; in the two exceptional situations, inner ideals are always isomorphic to \(M(1, r; \mathbb{K})\). Finally, in low dimensions there are certain isomorphisms between various types, see Subsection 3.7 (in particular, the isomorphism \(\text{Asym}(4, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{3,3}\) identifies the standard ideals in \(\text{Asym}(4, \mathbb{R})\) with the isotropic subspaces of dimension 1 and 3 in \(\mathbb{R}^{3,3}\) and the maximal point spaces with the isotropic subspaces of dimension 2).

### 3.3 Classification of isotopes: invertible elements in structure varieties

The classification of the invertible elements in the structure varieties of simple finite dimensional real Jordan pairs is known: it is based on the complete descriptions of their structure groups given by E. Neher, see [Be00], Chapter XII for tables concerning non-exceptional spaces. The lists are fairly long and we will not reproduce them here. Let us just give some comments. The tables given in loc. cit. (especially Table XII.2.6) have the same form as the ones to be given in the next subsection; however, they appear to be longer due to the fact that the description of invertible homotopies is less uniform: as a rule, instead of parametrizing by general rectangular matrices \(A, B\) as below (e.g., case 1.c), one has to take invertible matrices, which forces to distinguish the cases \(p = q\) and \(p \neq q\), and instead of parametrizing by general symmetric, Hermitian or skew-symmetric matrices (e.g., case 1.c), one uses invertible such matrices in normal form, involving signatures in the Hermitian case and forcing to distinguish the cases \(n\) odd and \(n\) even in the skew case. In this sense the tables from loc. cit. form a proper subset of the tables given below.

### 3.4 Structure varieties of simple classical Jordan pairs

**Theorem 3.1** For each of the classical Jordan pairs \((V^+, V^-)\) of matrix type, the following lists of endomorphisms \(\alpha\) give complete parametrizations of the structure variety \(\text{Svar}(V^+)\). Moreover, these parametrizations are equivariant with respect to the natural structure group actions; therefore a classification of homotopies \(T_\alpha\) up to isomorphy can be deduced by considering structure group orbits in the respective parameter spaces.

1. **Jordan pairs of rectangular matrices**

   1.1 \(V^+ = M(p, q; \mathbb{K})\), \(\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label (\alpha)</th>
<th>(\alpha(X) = AXA)</th>
<th>(A \in M(q, p; \mathbb{K}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.a</td>
<td>(\alpha(X) = AXA)</td>
<td>(A \in M(q, p; \mathbb{K}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.a’</td>
<td>(\alpha(X) = -AXA)</td>
<td>(A \in M(q, p; \mathbb{K}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.b</td>
<td>(\alpha(X) = AX^tB)</td>
<td>(A \in \text{Sym}(q, \mathbb{K}), B \in \text{Sym}(p, \mathbb{K}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.c</td>
<td>(\alpha(X) = AX^tB)</td>
<td>(A \in \text{Asym}(q, \mathbb{K}), B \in \text{Asym}(p, \mathbb{K}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   1.2, **case of antilinear maps**: \(V^+ = M(p, q; \mathbb{C})\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label (\alpha)</th>
<th>(\alpha(X) = AX^tA)</th>
<th>(A \in M(q, p; \mathbb{C}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.A</td>
<td>(\alpha(X) = AX^tA)</td>
<td>(A \in M(q, p; \mathbb{C}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.A’</td>
<td>(\alpha(X) = -AX^tA)</td>
<td>(A \in M(q, p; \mathbb{C}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.B</td>
<td>(\alpha(X) = AX^tB)</td>
<td>(A \in \text{Herm}(q, \mathbb{C}), B \in \text{Herm}(p, \mathbb{C}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   1.3 \(V^+ = M(p, q; \mathbb{H})\):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label (\alpha)</th>
<th>(\alpha(X) = AX^tA)</th>
<th>(A \in M(q, p; \mathbb{H}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.3.a</td>
<td>(\alpha(X) = AX^tA)</td>
<td>(A \in M(q, p; \mathbb{H}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.a’</td>
<td>(\alpha(X) = -AX^tA)</td>
<td>(A \in M(q, p; \mathbb{H}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.b</td>
<td>(\alpha(X) = AX^tB)</td>
<td>(A \in \text{Herm}(q, \mathbb{H}), B \in \text{Herm}(p, \mathbb{H}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.c</td>
<td>(\alpha(X) = AX^tB)</td>
<td>(A \in \text{Herm}(q, \mathbb{H}), B \in \text{Herm}(p, \mathbb{H}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Jordan pairs of symmetric matrices**

   2.1, **case of linear maps**: \(V^+ = V^- = \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{K}), \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\):
2.2, case of antilinear maps: $V^+ = V^- = \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C})$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label</th>
<th>$\alpha(X)$</th>
<th>$A \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.a</td>
<td>$AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.a'</td>
<td>$-AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.b</td>
<td>$AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.b'</td>
<td>$-AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Jordan pairs of Skewsymmetric matrices

3.1, case of linear maps: $V^+ = V^- = \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K})$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label</th>
<th>$\alpha(X)$</th>
<th>$A \in \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.a</td>
<td>$AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.a'</td>
<td>$-AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.b</td>
<td>$AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.b'</td>
<td>$-AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.c</td>
<td>$u \otimes u^* \circ X \circ A + A \circ X \circ u \otimes u^*$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{K}), u \in \mathbb{K}^n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.c'</td>
<td>$u \otimes u^* \circ X \circ A - A \circ X \circ u \otimes u^*$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{K}), u \in \mathbb{K}^n$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2, case of antilinear maps: $V^+ = V^- = \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{C})$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label</th>
<th>$\alpha(X)$</th>
<th>$A \in i\text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{C})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.A</td>
<td>$AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in i\text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.A'</td>
<td>$-AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in i\text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.B</td>
<td>$u \otimes u^* \circ X \circ A + A \circ X \circ u \otimes u^*$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{C}), u \in \mathbb{C}^n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.B'</td>
<td>$u \otimes u^* \circ X \circ A - A \circ X \circ u \otimes u^*$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{C}), u \in \mathbb{C}^n$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Jordan pairs of Hermitian matrices

4.1 $V^+ = V^- = \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{C})$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label</th>
<th>$\alpha(X)$</th>
<th>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{C})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1.a</td>
<td>$AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.a'</td>
<td>$-AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.b</td>
<td>$AXA^t$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.b'</td>
<td>$-AXA^t$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.c</td>
<td>$AXA^t$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.c'</td>
<td>$-AXA^t$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{C})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 $V^+ = V^- = \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label</th>
<th>$\alpha(X)$</th>
<th>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.2.a</td>
<td>$AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.a'</td>
<td>$-AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.b</td>
<td>$AXA^t$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.b'</td>
<td>$-AXA^t$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 $V^+ = V^- = \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>label</th>
<th>$\alpha(X)$</th>
<th>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3.a</td>
<td>$AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.a'</td>
<td>$-AXA$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.b</td>
<td>$AXA^t$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.b'</td>
<td>$-AXA^t$</td>
<td>$A \in \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{H})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Remark 3.2 The theorem says that the given parametrizations are surjective; we do not claim that they are injective: for instance, for \( \alpha \) of type 1.b the pairs of matrices \((A, AB)\) and \((\lambda A, B)\) for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{K} \) yield the same \( \alpha \). Moreover, if \( p = 1 \) (case of “projective” JTS \( M(1, q; \mathbb{K}) \)), Type 1.c becomes trivial (since \( \text{Asym}(1, \mathbb{K}) = 0 \)), and Type 1.a (the map \( \alpha(X) = (X, A)_A \), i.e., \( \alpha = A^* \otimes A \)) becomes a special case of Type 1.b: all structural maps are given by \( \alpha(X) = BX^t \) with symmetric \( B \), that is, in the “projective case”, structural maps are precisely the self-adjoint operators on \( \mathbb{K}^2 \).

Proof of the theorem. We show first that the parametrizations are well-defined, i.e., the endomorphisms \( \alpha \) belong indeed to \( \text{Svar}(V^+) \). In all cases, this is checked by elementary calculations. For convenience, let us spell out this, e.g., for the case 1.b: let \( X, Y, Z \in \operatorname{M}(p, q; \mathbb{K}) \), and \( A \in \text{Sym}(q, \mathbb{K}), B \in \text{Sym}(p, \mathbb{K}) \), then

\[
\alpha T^+(X, \alpha Y, Z) = A(XAY^tBZ + ZAY^tBX)^tB = (AX^tB)Y(AZ^tB) + (AZ^tB)Y(AX^tB) = T^-((\alpha X, Y, \alpha Z)).
\]

For \( A \in \text{Sym}(q, \mathbb{K}), B \in \text{Sym}(p, \mathbb{K}) \) the same calculation applies. Equivalently, one could check that for any \( A \in M(q, q; \mathbb{K}) \) and \( B \in M(p, p; \mathbb{K}) \) the pair of linear maps

\[
g : V^+ \to V^-, X \mapsto AX^tB, \quad h : V^+ \to V^-, X \mapsto A'X^tB'
\]

is a structural transformation from \((V^+, V^-)\) to its opposite pair \((V^-, V^+)\), and the self-adjointness condition \( g = h \) holds if \( A \) and \( B \) are both symmetric or both skew-symmetric. Similarly, for Jordan pairs of symmetric matrices, it is useful to observe that, for any square matrix \( A \), the map \( X \mapsto AXA^t \) is a well-defined endomorphism of \( \text{Sym}(n; \mathbb{K}) \) which together with \( X \mapsto A'XA \) forms a structural pair. The self-adjointness condition is hence satisfied if \( A \) is symmetric or skew-symmetric. Moreover, if \( A \) is any invertible matrix, the same formula defines an element of the structure group. For Hermitian matrices, similar remarks hold with respect to maps of the form \( X \mapsto AXA^t \). Finally, cases 3.c, 3.c’, 3.B, 3.B’ have a different behaviour and will be discussed separately below.

Next let us show that the parametrizations are surjective. First of all, we have to show that all inner ideals \( I \) show indeed up in the form \( I = \mathbb{I} \alpha \) for suitable \( \alpha \). For the principal ideals this is immediate: by definition, they are images of quadratic operators \( \alpha \). For rectangular matrices, \( I \in \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K}) \) this corresponds exactly to the classification pattern from [Be00], Chapter XII. Assume first \( I = \mathbb{I}_{ab} \) is a “rectangular inner ideal” in \( M(p, q; \mathbb{K}) \). Then we may modify the matrices \( A \) and \( B \) defined above by a signature (case 1.b), or (if their size is even) by standard skew matrices (case 1.c); this corresponds to the invertible homotopies of \( I \) from Table XII.2.6, loc. cit., lines 6.1.a, 6.1.b. If, moreover, \( I \) is “square” (i.e., principal), then it is also an image of homotopies from case 1.a and 1.a’, which correspond to line 1.1.b in loc. cit. For \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C} \), complex conjugation comes in as additional invertible homotopy (case 1.A above; Table XII.2.4 line 1.A in loc. cit.). Summing up, all invertible homotopies of \( I \) correspond to some \( \alpha \) from the above list. Exactly the same pattern applies to all other cases: the invertible homotopies of \( I \) correspond in general to modify by signatures, or by standard skew matrices in even dimension, plus adding possibly a complex conjugation. As explained in section 3.3, this corresponds exactly to the classification pattern from [Be00], Chapter XII.

Let us explain now that the remaining cases 3.c, 3.c’, 3.B, 3.B’ describe homotopies corresponding to point-space inner ideals in \( \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K}) \). Let \( \alpha(X) = u \otimes u^* \circ X \circ A + A \circ X \circ u \otimes u^* \).

Claim 1: \( \alpha \) is a homotopy. More generally, let \( \tilde{\alpha}(X) := AXB + BXA \) for \( A, B \in \text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{K}) \). In general, \( \tilde{\alpha} \) will not be a homotopy, but we may ask under which conditions on \( A \) and \( B \) this is the case. Computing
\[ \hat{\alpha}(X)Y\hat{\alpha}(X) \] and \[ \hat{\alpha}(X\hat{\alpha}(Y)X) \], we find that equality between these two terms holds if and only if
\[ AXAYXB + BXBYXAXA = AXBYBXA + BXAYXAB. \]

If \( B \) is a symmetric rank-one operator, i.e., an operator \( u \otimes u^* : v \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle u \), then this equality holds for all \( X, Y \in \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K}) \): indeed, for all \( Z \in \text{Asym}(n, \mathbb{K}) \), the matrix \( BZB \) is then skew-symmetric of rank one, hence must be zero, so \( BXB = 0, BYB = 0, B(XAYAX)B = 0 \). This proves Claim 1.

Claim 2. The image of \( \alpha \) is a point space. Consider first the case \( u = e_n \) and \( A \) the diagonal matrix having last diagonal coefficient 0 and the others 1. Then \( u \otimes u^* = E_{nn} \), so that \( A + u \otimes u^* \) is the identity matrix. Using that \( E_{nn}XE_{nn} = 0 \) for skew-symmetric \( X \), it follows that
\[ \alpha(X) = E_{nn}XA + AXE_{nn} = X - AXA = (id - \gamma)(X) \]
where \( \gamma(X) = AXA \) is the projection onto the principal inner ideal \( I_{e_n^\perp} \) (case 3.b) with kernel \( K_{e_n} \), hence \( \alpha = id - \gamma \) is the projection onto the maximal point space \( K_{e_n} \). The general proof of claim 2 (not necessarily maximal point spaces) is similar.

Finally, knowing that the structure variety of \( K_{e_n} \) is the one of the projective Jordan pair, that is \( \text{Sym}(n - 1, \mathbb{K}) \) (Remark 3.2), augmented by complex conjugation in the complex case, we see that all \( \alpha \) having point spaces as images are covered by cases 3.c, 3.c', 3.B, 3.B'.

Corollary 3.3 Theorem 4.2 of Part I ([BeBi]) contains a complete classification of homotopes of classical symmetric spaces, in the sense that the symmetric spaces described there exhaust the list of symmetric spaces having curvature \( R_\alpha \), with \( \alpha \) a homotopy of one of the classical Jordan pairs of type 1, 2 and 3; the only exception is that in loc. cit. the symmetric spaces corresponding to the point space inner ideals for type 3 do not appear.

Proof. Labels in Theorem 4.2 loc. cit. are chosen such that curvature tensors \( [X, Y, Z]_\alpha \) given there correspond exactly to the LTS \( R_\alpha \) corresponding to the \( \alpha \)-homotope of the Jordan pair \( (V^+, V^-) \). Thus Part I furnishes a method to calculate the standard imbeddings of these LTS, and hence the symmetric spaces in their form \( G_\alpha/H_\alpha \).

It seems likely that the symmetric spaces belonging to the point space inner ideals cannot be constructed via the two-involution construction from Part I, and moreover, that they are related to the type four series in a profound way. A better understanding of this situation is certainly a topic worth further work.

3.5 Homotopes of polarized Jordan triple systems

Example 3.4 Let us start with a naive (and important) example: consider the direct product of the JTS \( (\mathbb{K}, T) \) with itself, where \( T(x, y, z) = 2xyz \), whence \( Q(x)y = x^2y \). We wish to compute its structure variety \( \text{Svar}(\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}) \): write \( \alpha : \mathbb{K}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^2 \) as a \( 2 \times 2 \)-matrix; then \( Q(x, y) \) is the diagonal matrix having coefficients \( x^2, y^2 \), so that the condition \( aQ(X)\alpha = Q(\alpha X) \) is equivalent to
\[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & y^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (ax + by)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (cx + dy)^2 \end{pmatrix} \]
Taking for \( X \) the two base vectors \( e_1, e_2 \), and assuming that \( \mathbb{K} \) is a field, a short computation leads to distinguish two cases, apart from the trivial case \( \alpha = 0 \):

1. \( a \neq 0 \); then \( b = c = 0 \), and \( \alpha \) is a diagonal matrix with \( d \in \mathbb{K} \) arbitrary,

2. \( a = 0 \) and \( b \neq 0 \); then \( d = 0 \) and \( c = b \), hence \( \alpha \) is a multiple of the exchange map \( (x, y) \mapsto (y, x) \).

In the first case, homotopes are simply direct products of those in \( \mathbb{K} \); in the second case, homotopes are polarized Jordan triple systems, corresponding to symmetric spaces of the kind \( \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{K})/\text{GL}(1, \mathbb{K}) \times \text{GL}(1, \mathbb{K}) \) (for \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \), this is the one-sheeted hyperboloid, see section 3.7).
The general situation is similar as in the example: for any Jordan pair \((V^+, V^-)\), the direct sum with its opposite Jordan pair \((V^+ \oplus V^-, V^- \oplus V^+)\) is again a Jordan pair, and this pair carries a canonical involution (the exchange map), so that it becomes a Jordan triple system \(V = V^+ \oplus V^-\) with
\[
T((x, x'), (y, y'), (z, z')) = (T^+(x, y', z), T^-(x', y, z')).
\]
Such JTS are called polarized; in the framework of 3-graded Lie-algebras, they correspond to the direct sum \(g \oplus g\) with the exchange involution (where the second copy of \(g\) carries the opposite grading of the first one). Every structural transformation \((f : V^+ \to V^-, g : V^- \to V^+)\) from \((V^+, V^-)\) to itself gives rise to a homotopy of the polarized JTS \(V\) via
\[
\alpha : V^+ \oplus V^- \to V^+ \oplus V^-, \quad (u, v) \mapsto (g(v), f(u)).
\]

Since this way, the classification of all structural endomorphisms of a Jordan pair is a subproblem of the one of classifying homotopies. Put differently, classifying structural transformations from Jordan pairs to symmetric ones (type \(2\)), replacing the transposed matrix by the conjugate transposed matrix. The other cases mentioned above, is missing. In particular, we have the impression that the spaces corresponding to symmetric ones (type \(2\)), replacing the transposed matrix by the conjugate transposed matrix. The last case can only occur if \(\alpha\) has signature \((1, 2)\), we get endomorphisms that are simultaneously symmetric and “anti-orthogonal” w.r.t. \(\beta\). This must hold for all \(x, y\), hence \(\alpha\) is symmetric. But then we get the condition \(\beta(x, \alpha^2 z) y = \beta(x, z) \alpha^2 y\) which implies that \(\alpha^2\) must be a multiple of the identity. Conversely, it is clear that these conditions imply that \(\alpha\) is Svar\((V)\).

### Proposition 3.5

Let \((V, T)\) be a real simple Jordan pair of Type 4 (and of dimension at least 3) corresponding to the bilinear form \(\beta\). Then \(\alpha\) belongs to Svar\((V)\) if and only if \(\alpha\) is symmetric with respect to \(\beta\) and \(\alpha^2 = \lambda \text{id}\) with \(\lambda \in \mathbb{K}\).

#### Proof

(Cf. [Ri67].) Note that \(\alpha\) belongs to Svar\((V)\) if and only if
\[
\beta(\alpha x, y) \alpha z + \beta(\alpha z, y) \alpha x - \beta(\alpha x, \alpha z)y = \beta(x, \alpha y) \alpha z + \beta(z, \alpha y) \alpha x - \beta(x, z) \alpha^2 y
\]
Choosing \(y\) linearly independent from \(\alpha z\) and \(\alpha x\), we see first that \(\alpha^2 y\) must be proportional to \(y\) and then \(\beta(\alpha x, y) = \beta(x, \alpha y)\). This must hold for all \(x, y\), hence \(\alpha\) is symmetric. But then we get the condition \(\beta(x, \alpha^2 z) y = \beta(x, z) \alpha^2 y\) which implies that \(\alpha^2\) must be a multiple of the identity. Conversely, it is clear that these conditions imply that \(\alpha\) is Svar\((V)\).

For \(\alpha^2 = 1\), we get endomorphisms that are simultaneously symmetric and orthogonal with respect to \(\beta\), and for \(\alpha^2 = -1\), we get endomorphisms that are simultaneously symmetric and “anti-orthogonal” w.r.t. \(\beta\). The last case can only occur if \(\beta\) has signature \((n, n)\); then it is the real (or imaginary) part of a complex quadratic form, and \(\alpha\) is the complex structure: \(\langle ix, iy \rangle = -\langle x, y \rangle\). The case \(\alpha^2 = 0\), \(\alpha \neq 0\) can appear whenever the form \(\beta\) is neither positive nor negative definite. The symmetric spaces corresponding to \(\alpha\) are described in [Ma79]. However, a global algebraic construction of these spaces, comparable to the ones in the other cases mentioned above, is missing. In particular, we have the impression that the spaces corresponding to \(\alpha^2 = 0\) have a close relation to symmetric spaces related to point space ideals in Asym\((n, \mathbb{R})\), see above.
3.7 Low dimensional cases

In low dimension, certain isomorphisms between members of different families of Jordan pairs or triple systems occur; in particular, matrix algebras of low rank are often isomorphic to type four algebras \( \mathbb{R}^{p,q} \) (recall notation from the table in subsection 3.1). In the following, we list such isomorphisms (following [Lo75], p. 196 ff and [Be00], Table XII.1.5) along with some comments on their inner ideals and their geometry. Recall that proper inner ideals in \( \mathbb{R}^{p,q} \) are exactly the isotropic subspaces; thus their maximal dimension is \( \min(p,q) \); in particular, \( \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n,0} \) contains no non-trivial inner ideals. Thus homotopies in this case are either trivial or invertible, and hence every proper contraction of an isotope is trivial (i.e., flat). The other extreme is the case \( M(1,n;\mathbb{K}) \): in this case, every vector subspace is an inner ideal, and hence there are many non-trivial contractions.

Before describing the symmetric spaces, recall from Part I ([BeBi], Sections 2 and 4) notation and definition of homotopes of classical Lie algebras and Lie groups, as well as the description of symmetric spaces \( G_\alpha/H_\alpha \) belonging to a homotopy \( \alpha \) ([BeBi], Theorem 4.1). Recall in particular the \( A \)-orthogonal Lie algebra (for an arbitrary matrix \( A \in \text{Sym}(n,\mathbb{K}) \))

\[
\mathfrak{o}_n(A;\mathbb{K}) := \text{Asym}(n;\mathbb{K}) \text{ with Lie bracket } [X,Y]_A := XAY - YAX.
\]

The case \( n = 3 \) will be particularly important below: we calculate explicitly the Lie bracket with respect to a diagonal matrix \( A = \text{dia}(a,b,c) \); let us denote this algebra by \( \mathfrak{o}_3((a,b,c);\mathbb{K}) \):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & x & y \\
-x & 0 & z \\
y & -z & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix} \rightarrow
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & x' & y' \\
-x' & 0 & z' \\
y' & -z' & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & c(y'y' - yz') & b(x'x' - xz') \\
-c(y'y' - yz') & 0 & a(x'y' - x'y') \\
-b(x'x' - xz') & a(x'y' - x'y') & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

In other words, denoting by \( e, f, k \) the natural basis in \( \text{Asym}(3;\mathbb{K}) \), this Lie algebra is \( \mathbb{K}^3 \) with commutator relations

\[
e, f] = ck, \quad [e, k] = af, \quad [f, k] = -be \tag{6}
\]

For \( A = \text{dia}(1,1,1) \), we get the usual orthogonal algebra \( \mathfrak{o}_3((1,1,1);\mathbb{K}) = \mathfrak{o}(3;\mathbb{K}) \); for \( A = \text{dia}(-1,-1,1) \), we get \( \mathfrak{o}_3((-1,-1,1);\mathbb{K}) = \mathfrak{o}(2,1;\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathfrak{s}_4(\mathbb{K}) \); for \( A = \text{dia}(0,1,-1) \) and \( A = \text{dia}(0,1,1) \), we get two solvable 3-dimensional algebras, \( \mathfrak{o}_3((0,1,-1);\mathbb{K}) \) and \( \mathfrak{o}_3((0,1,1);\mathbb{K}) \), isomorphic to semidirect products \( \mathbb{K}^2 \rtimes \mathfrak{o}(2;\mathbb{K}) \), resp. \( \mathbb{K}^2 \rtimes \mathfrak{o}(1,1;\mathbb{K}) \). Finally, for \( A = \text{dia}(0,0,1) \), we get the 3-dimensional Heisenberg algebra. Note also that, for any of these algebras, conjugation by the diagonal matrix \( I_{2,1} \) is a Lie algebra automorphism.

3.7.1 Dimension 2

There are exactly three non-isomorphic two-dimensional semisimple Jordan pairs:

A. Two-dimensional conformal geometry: \( V = \mathbb{C} = \text{Sym}(1,\mathbb{C}) = M(1,1;\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \) (isomorphic also as Jordan algebras). The only inner ideals are 0 and \( \mathbb{C} \), thus homotopies are either trivial or invertible. We have the following list of isotopes:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha(X) &= \overline{X} \text{ gives } M_\alpha = D \text{ (the unit disc)}, \\
\alpha(X) &= -\overline{X} \text{ gives } M_\alpha = S^2 \text{ (sphere, c-dual of } D) \text{; both contract directly to flat spaces}; \\
\alpha(X) &= X \text{ gives } \mathbb{C}^\times \text{ which is self c-dual and flat}.
\end{align*}
\]

B. Real projective plane: \( (V^+,V^-) = (M(1,2;\mathbb{R}),M(2,1;\mathbb{R})) \). Every linear subspace in \( V^- \) is an inner ideal, hence there are inner ideals of dimension one, and there exist contractions in “two steps”. Recall from Remark 3.2 that in the projective case all homotopies can be put in the form \( \alpha(X) = BX \) with a symmetric matrix \( B \). Thus classification of homotopes in the projective case amounts to classification of \( \text{GL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \)-orbits in \( \text{Sym}(2,\mathbb{K}) \):

B.1. Isotopes correspond to the three open orbits which we represent by the three matrices \( B = 1, B = I_{1,1}, B = -1 \). The corresponding isotopes are

\[
M = D, \quad M = H = O(2,1)/O(1) \times O(1,1) \text{ (one-sheeted hyperboloid)}, \quad M = \mathbb{R}P^2 = O(3)/O(2) \times O(1).
\]
B.2. There are two rank-one orbits represented by diagonal matrices $B = E_{11} = dia(1, 0)$ and $B = -E_{11} = dia(-1, 0)$. The corresponding homotopes are

$$C_H = O_3((1, 0, 1))/O_2((1, 0)) \times O_1(1), \quad C_E = O_3((-1, 0, 1))/O_2((-1, 0)) \times O_1(1)$$

where $O_3((a, b, c))$ is the group having Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}_3((a, b, c); \mathbb{R})$, see [BeBi]. We call $C_E$ the elliptic cylinder and $C_H$ the hyperbolic cylinder (in [BDS09] the terms Poincaré coset, resp. Galilei coset had been used). The spaces $C_E$, resp. $C_H$ can be characterized as the non-flat and non-semisimple two-dimensional symmetric spaces, $c$-dual to each other, and topologically the former is homeomorphic to a cylinder, and the latter to a vector space.

Contraction relations are precisely the closure relations among $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$-orbits in $\text{Sym}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Point reflection at the origin corresponds to $c$-duality. Note that all local isomorphism classes of 2-dimensional symmetric spaces appear in this picture. In particular, the 2-dimensional $ax + b$-group (affine group of the real line) is among these spaces. Indeed, since the homotopy $\alpha C$ spaces appear in this picture. In particular, the 2-dimensional $ax + b$ at the origin corresponds to $c$
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C. Polarized Geometry: direct products. $V = \mathbb{R}_{1,1}$ is not a simple Jordan algebra: it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. The structure variety of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ has been computed in Example 3.4. Isotopes are either uninteresting (direct product of flat spaces), or isomorphic to the one-sheeted hyperboloid $H$. Although there exist proper inner ideals (the two isotropic lines in $\mathbb{R}_{1,1}$), they do not contribute to non-trivial contractions of $H$: indeed, the computations from Example 3.4 show that homotopies with image one of these two lines lead to direct product situations, hence to flat spaces. Summing up, no interesting contractions of $H$ arise in this case.

3.7.2 Dimension 3

There are exactly three simple Jordan pairs of dimension 3 over $\mathbb{R}$:

A. Riemannian conformal space: case of the Jordan algebra $\mathbb{R}^3$. Like $\mathbb{R}^2$, the Jordan algebra $\mathbb{R}^3$ has no non-trivial inner ideals, hence there are only isotopes contracting directly to flat space. These isotopes are given by symmetric matrices having coefficients $\pm 1$ on the diagonal, leading to spaces going from the compact $S^3$ to hyperbolic space via its indefinite isotopes.

B. Projective 3-space: $M(1, 3; \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Asym}(3, \mathbb{R})$ (see [Lo75], p. 197 for this isomorphism). Looking at this JTS as a space of row matrices, the discussion is similar to the one for $M(1, 2; \mathbb{R})$ above: there are inner ideals of all dimensions, and the structure variety is given by all $\alpha(X) = BX$ with $B \in \text{Sym}(3, \mathbb{R})$; contraction relations are closure relations of $\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{R})$-orbits there: the 4 open orbits, corresponding to invertible matrices $B = 1, I_{2,1}, I_{1,2}, -1$, give the isotopes

$$\mathbb{R}P^3 = O(4)/O(3) \times O(1), \quad O(2, 2)/O(2, 1) \times O(1), \quad O(3, 1)/O(1) \times O(2, 1), \quad O(3, 1)/O(3) \times O(1).$$

Next we have three different orbits of rank-2 matrices and two different orbits of rank-1-matrices, corresponding to symmetric spaces resembling the spaces $C_E$ and $C_H$ from above. As above, spaces associated
to the two rank-1 orbits have different interpretations: semi-positive rank-one matrices correspond to homotopies $X \mapsto AXA$ of type 1.a, hence to group cases: for $A = (100)$, the same computation as above shows that this group is the affine group of $\mathbb{R}^2$ ($ax + b$-group). Its Lie algebra is 3-dimensional with commutator relations $[k,e] = e, [k,f] = f, [e,f] = 0$, and it is not isomorphic to any of the 3-dimensional Lie algebras $\mathfrak{o}_3((a,b,c);\mathbb{R})$ described above.

Looking now at this JTS as $\text{Asym}(3,\mathbb{R})$, the picture becomes considerably more complicated: first of all, a glance at the list of homotopes of this JTS shows that the only invertible homotopies are of type 3.b or 3.b‘ with an invertible symmetric matrix $A$. We may choose $A = \text{dia}(a,b,c)$, so for type 3.b we get precisely the orthogonal groups $O_3((a,b,c);\mathbb{R})$ introduced above. For type 3.b‘, we get their c-duals. This implies the four isotopes mentioned above must be isomorphic to $SO(3)$, $SO(2,1)_o$, $SO(3,\mathbb{C})/SO(2,1)$, $SO(3,\mathbb{C})/SO(3)$, respectively (indeed, this could be deduced from known isomorphisms of low dimensional Lie groups). Choosing a degenerate diagonal matrix $A = \text{dia}(a,b,c)$, we get three other group cases, but none of them is isomorphic as a group to the $ax + b$-group mentioned above. However, as symmetric space, the $ax + b$-group is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{o}_3((110);\mathbb{R})$: indeed, both the commutator relations $[k,e] = e, [k,f] = f, [e,f] = 0$ and $[k,e] = f, [k,f] = e, [e,f] = 0$ lead to the LTS relations $[k,k,f] = f, [k,k,e] = e$. In both cases the formula for the homotopy boils down to $\alpha((x,y,z)) = x$. The case of the group $\mathfrak{o}_3((100);\mathbb{R})$ belongs to the homotopy $X = I_{11}X_{11}$ which is zero since $X$ is skew-symmetric; indeed, the group $\mathfrak{o}_3((100);\mathbb{R})$ is two-step nilpotent, hence its LTS is zero. Note also that all inner ideals in $\text{Asym}(3;\mathbb{R})$ are “point spaces” (since the principal inner ideal $\text{Asym}(2;\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$), and hence symmetric spaces are fibered over isotopes of $\mathbb{R}^4$ or $\mathbb{R}^2$. For the time being, we have no good description of homotopes corresponding to point space ideals for $\text{Asym}(n,\mathbb{R})$ in general; in particular, we do not know whether for $n > 3$ new group cases show up.)

C. **Pseudo-Riemannian conformal space:** Jordan algebra $\text{Sym}(2,\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$. This is the “small Minkowski space”. Proper inner ideals are the isotropic lines. Thus there are no non-trivial contractions. This case already shows the “generic” feature that the structure variety has several irreducible components. The isotopes are: the two coal spaces $\text{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})/O(2)$, $\text{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})/O(1,1)$ (type 2.a) and their c-duals $U(2)/O(2)$, $U(1,1)/O(1,1)$ (type 2.a‘), and the group case $\text{Sp}(1;\mathbb{R}) = \text{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ (type 2.b) and its c-dual $\text{Sl}(2,\mathbb{C})/\text{Sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ (type 2.b‘). Spaces of type 2.a and 2.a‘ have non-trivial contractions, whereas the two spaces of type 2.b, 2.b‘ (belonging to another irreducible component of $\text{Svar}(\text{Sym}(2,\mathbb{R}))$) do not.

3.7.3 **Dimension 4**

There are five simple fixed Jordan pairs of dimension four, and there are also some interesting direct products, such as $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^2$ (which hosts the polarized space $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$). For reasons of space, the following discussions of these cases will be sketchy and far from being exhaustive.

A. **Riemannian Conformal geometry:** $\mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathbb{H}$ (as Jordan algebras). Isotopes are ranking from the compact $\mathbb{H}^2 = S^3$ to hyperbolic space and contain the group case $\mathbb{H}^2$; they all contract directly to 0.

B. **Conformal Artinian geometry:** $M(2,2;\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2,2}$ (as Jordan algebras): the principal inner ideals are the isotropic lines (principal), the isotropic planes are inner, but not principal. Isotopes are: group type space $\text{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ (type 1.a), its c-dual $\text{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})/\text{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ (type 1.a‘), $O(4)/O(2) \times O(2)$, $O(2,2)/O(2) \times O(2)$, $O(3,1)/O(2) \times O(1,1)$, $O(2,2)/O(1,1) \times O(1,1)$ (type 1.b), $\text{Sp}(2,\mathbb{R})/\text{Sp}(1,\mathbb{R}) \times \text{Sp}(1,\mathbb{R})$ (type 1.c). The latter space has no non-trivial contractions, whereas all other have proper contractions corresponding to 1-dimensional inner ideals; in particular, $\text{GL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ contracts to groups $\text{GL}_2(A;\mathbb{R})$.

C. **Conformal Minkowski space:** $\text{Herm}(2,\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ (as Jordan algebras). Inner ideals are the isotropic lines; all are principal. Isotopes are: coal spaces $\text{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})/U(2)$, $\text{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})/U(1,1)$ (type 1.1.a) and their duals, the group type spaces $U(2)$ and $U(1,1)$ (type 1.1.a‘), $O(2,2)/O(2,2)$ (type 1.1.b; self-c dual in this particular case), $\text{Sp}(2,\mathbb{R})/\text{Sp}(1,\mathbb{C})$ (type 1.1.c), $O(2,2)/\text{Sp}(1,\mathbb{C})$ (type 1.1.c‘); the latter two have no non-trivial contraction, whereas all other spaces have exactly one non-trivial contraction.

D. **Projective 4-space:** $M(1,4;\mathbb{R})$. The situation is similar to the case $M(1,3;\mathbb{R})$ described above. Since there is no special isomorphism in this case, this case is already “generic” for real projective spaces. In particular, the only group cases correspond exactly to the rank-one homotopies (groups $\text{GL}_{1,4}(A;\mathbb{R})$, still isomorphic to an $ax + b$-group).
E. Complex projective plane: $M(1, 2; \mathbb{C})$. We distinguish $\mathbb{C}$-linear and $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear homotopes: this discussion of the $\mathbb{C}$-linear case follows exactly the same pattern as the one for $M(1, 2; \mathbb{R})$; since $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed, there are less isomorphism classes of symmetric spaces (3 complex spaces, corresponding to rank $B$ being 0, 1 or 2, compared to the 6 real spaces from above).

For $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear $\alpha$, let us first remark that (for $p = 1, q = n$; here $n = 2$) types 1.A and 1.A' become special instances of type 1.B; in other words, $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear homotopies are precisely the maps of the form $F(z) = f(\bar{z})$ with Hermitian $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$. For invertible $f$, we get according to the various possible signatures, the isotopes

$$U(3)/U(2) \times U(1), \quad U(2, 1)/U(2) \times U(1), \quad U(2, 1)/U(1) \times U(1, 1).$$

If $f$ is of rank one, then the spaces have two interpretations: type 1.A corresponds to $f$ of rank one and non-negative, and type 1.A' to $f$ of rank one and non-positive. For the corresponding symmetric spaces we have for type 1.A:

$$U_3((1, -1, 0))/U_1(1) \times U_2((1, 0)) \cong GL_{2,1}((1, 0); M(2, 2; \mathbb{R}))/GL_{2,1}((1, 0; \mathbb{C})).$$

3.7.4 Dimension 6

The cases of dimension 6 and 10 are of particular interest, since low dimensional isomorphisms appear there. We just give the list of simple JTS and of special isomorphisms in dimension 6, and leave a more detailed discussion of the corresponding homotopes and their specific features for subsequent work.

A. Riemannian conformal space: $\mathbb{R}^6$

B. Lorentzian conformal space: $\mathbb{R}_{5,1} \cong \text{Herm}(2, \mathbb{H})$

C. Artinian conformal space: $\text{Asym}(4, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}_{3,3}$ (see [Lo75], p. 200, for this isomorphism)

D. Pseudo-Riemannian conformal space of signature $(4, 2)$: $\mathbb{R}_{2,4}$

E. Complex projective space: $M(1, 3; \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Asym}(3, \mathbb{C})$

F. Complex Lagrangian geometry of a symplectic form on $\mathbb{C}^4$: $\text{Sym}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^3$

G. Real Lagrangian geometry of a symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^6$: $\text{Sym}(3, \mathbb{R})$

H. Real Grassmannian of 2-spaces in $\mathbb{R}^5$: $M(2, 3; \mathbb{R})$
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